

 Eskom	Report	Project Engineering
--	---------------	----------------------------

Title: TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR THE PTM&C EQUIPMENT & COMMISSIONING ASSOCIATED WITH MERCURY 3RD 400/132KV TRANSFORMER	Template Identifier:	240-180000670
	Unique Identifier:	Mer23P13
	Area of Applicability:	Engineering
	Documentation Type:	Report
	Revision:	1
	Total Pages:	31
	Disclosure Classification:	Controlled Disclosure

Compiled by


Christinah Mohloki
Senior Project Engineer:
Planning & Project Support

Functional Responsibility


Mario Petersen
Manager: Planning & Project
Support (Acting)

Authorized by


Judith Malinga
Senior Manager: PTM&C
Engineering

Date: 13/09/2023

Date: 18/09/2023

Date: 18/09/2023

Content	Page
1. Introduction	3
2. Supporting clause.	3
2.1 Scope	3
2.2 Normative/informative references	3
2.3 Definitions	4
2.4 Abbreviations	4
2.5 Roles and responsibilities	4
2.6 Process for monitoring	5
2.7 Related/supporting documents	5
3. Technical Tender Evaluation Procedure	5
4. Stage 1: Evaluation of Technical Qualitative Requirements for the Supply of Equipment	5
5. Stage 2: Evaluation of Technical Qualitative Requirements for Engineering, Installation, Testing & Commissioning Capability	7
6. Stage 3: Deemed Offer Risk(s)	8
7. Authorization	8
8. Revision History	9
9. Acknowledgements	9
Annexure A: A&B Technical Schedule	10
Annexure B: Engineering Schedule	14
Annexure C: Procedure for Commissioning	16
Annexure D: Schedule for Commissioning	18
Annexure E: Tool List	19
Annexure F: Test Equipment List	20
Annexure G: Software List	23
Annexure H: Training Courses per technician	27
Annexure I: Organogram, CV's & Project Experience	29
Annexure J: Deviation Schedule	0

Tables

Table 1: Scoring of Items in Technical Schedules A&B	6
Table 2: Technical Qualitative Requirements Evaluation	6
Table 3: Scoring for Contractor Commissioning	7
Table 4: Engineering, Installation, Testing & Commissioning Capability Criteria	8
Table 5: Deemed Offer Risk(s) Evaluation	8

1. Introduction

- a. Supply of Phase VI protection schemes for transformer; junction boxes, as listed in Table 2 and detailed in 240-170000986 High Level Scope of Work – PTM&C Equipment for Mercury Substation
- b. Commissioning of Phase VI protection schemes for Transformer; Bus Coupler; Bus Section; Junction boxes and TDB as listed in Table 2 and detailed in 240-170000986 High Level Scope of Work – PTM&C Equipment for Mercury Substation

2. Supporting clause.

2.1 Scope

This document contains the technical evaluation strategy and criteria relating to the:

- a. Supply of Phase V and Phase VI protection schemes for transformer; junction boxes, as listed in Table 2 and detailed in 240-170000986 High Level Scope of Work – PTM&C Equipment for Mercury Substation
- b. Commissioning of Phase V and Phase VI protection schemes for transformer; junction boxes, as listed in Table 2 and detailed in 240-170000986 High Level Scope of Work – PTM&C Equipment for Mercury Substation
- c. and details specific tender returnables required to facilitate this evaluation.

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to define the technical evaluation strategy and criteria and detail specific tender returnables required to facilitate this evaluation.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document shall apply to Eskom Transmission Division.

2.2 Normative/informative references

Parties using this document shall apply:

2.2.1 Normative

Note: Certain documents listed below may state applicability to Eskom Distribution, however those documents are also applicable to Eskom Transmission.

- [1] Drawing No: 0.52/30434 and 0.52/30459 – Master Drawings for 6TA-2300
- [2] Drawing No: 0.52/30795 or 0.52/30798– Master Drawings for 6JB-#300
- [3] Drawing No: 0.52/30794 or 0.52/30797 – Master Drawings for 6JB-#200
- [4] Drawing No: 0.52/30793 or 0.52/30796 – Master Drawings for 6JB-#100
- [5] Drawing No: 0.52/30564 and 0.52/30565 – Master Drawings for 6BC-2110
- [6] Drawing No: 0.52/30566 and 0.52/30567 – Master Drawings for 6BC-2210
- [7] Drawing No: 0.52/30570 – Master Drawings for 6BC-2810
- [8] Drawing No: 0.52/30578 & 0.52/30579– Master Drawings for 6AGW-2101
- [9] Drawing No: 0.52/30580 & 0.52/30581 – Master Drawings for 6AHMIA-2100

ESKOM COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

-
- [10] Drawing No: 0.52/30513 & 0.52/30514 – Master Drawings for 6AFS-2100
- [11] Drawing No: 0.52/30448 – Master Drawings for 6BZ 2410 16 bay
- [12] 240-170000986 High Level Scope of Work – PTM&C Equipment for Mercury Substation.
- [13] 240-54615413 - Standard for Commissioning Protection Assets
- [14] Applicable wiring, drawing, commissioning standards

2.2.2 Informative

- [15] 240-60665215 – Delivery projects commissioning management procedure

2.3 Definitions

2.3.1 General

Definition	Description
Double Busbar	A substation layout consisting of the conventional double busbar configuration with or without bus section / bus couplers etc. A set of isolator links per busbar are used to connect the transformer bay to either busbar. In double bus bar system two identical bus bars are used in such a way that any outgoing or incoming feeder can be taken from any of the bus.
Extra High Voltage	Nominal AC voltages above 220kV up to and including 765kV.
Intelligent Electronic Device	A microprocessor-based device that encompasses all or some of the following functionalities: protection, control and automation, metering, tele control, substation DC and auxiliary supply systems, quality of supply monitoring, and disturbance and event recording.

2.3.2 Disclosure classification

Controlled disclosure: controlled disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
EHV	Extra High Voltage
FZB	Breaker and a Half Feeder Impedance
JB	Junction Box
kV	Kilovolt
PTM&C	Protection Telecommunication Measurements & Control
TWS	Travelling Wave System

2.5 Roles and responsibilities

It is proposed that:

- Eskom shall utilise this document as the basis for the technical evaluation process.
- Tenderers shall note the evaluation criteria and required tender returnables as laid out in this document and submit proposals in compliance to the stipulated requirements.

ESKOM COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

2.6 Process for monitoring

Not applicable.

2.7 Related/supporting documents

Not applicable.

3. Technical Tender Evaluation Procedure

The evaluation process has three stages, with corresponding minimum scoring thresholds per stage required for a bid to be deemed compliant:

- a) **Stage 1:** Technical Qualitative Requirements for the Supply of Equipment which require a minimum of 80% compliance. Only submissions that pass the scoring threshold of a minimum of 80% will proceed to the next stage.
- b) **Stage 2:** Technical Qualitative Requirements for Installation, Testing & Commissioning which require a minimum of 80% compliance threshold. Only submissions that pass the scoring threshold of a minimum of 80% will proceed to the next stage.
- c) **Stage 3:** Deemed offer Risks which should at least be acceptable.

The technical evaluation process will follow a chronological order:

- 1) Stage 1 evaluates the technical criteria for the Supply of Equipment and will be scored against the thresholds defined. If the Stage 1 threshold is met, then the qualifying bid will proceed to Stage 2. If the bidder fails to achieve the defined threshold, then the submission is deemed to be non-compliant and will not be considered for further evaluation. Based on the evaluation, qualifying offers with any non-compliances which Eskom deems necessary, may be recommended for negotiation as compulsory prior to contract award.
- 2) Stage 2 evaluates the technical criteria for Installation, Testing & Commissioning and will be scored against the thresholds defined. If the Stage 2 thresholds are met, then the qualifying bids will proceed to Stage 3. If the bidder fails to achieve the defined threshold, then the submission is deemed to be non-compliant and will be removed from further evaluation. Based on the evaluation, qualifying offers with any non-compliances which Eskom deems necessary, may be recommended for negotiation as compulsory prior to contract award.
- 3) Stage 3 is a report written by the evaluation team to determine and motivate whether any risks found throughout the evaluation are deemed low / acceptable / high and will serve as input to the recommendation as to whether the offer should be accepted.

The detailed methodologies for scoring in each stage are provided in Sections 4 to 6.

4. Stage 1: Evaluation of Technical Qualitative Requirements for the Supply of Equipment

This section contains the technical evaluation strategy and criteria for the supply as stipulated in the Scope of Work pf document UID Mer23P3-P-D95.

The following criteria will be used to assess the tenderer's technical acceptability pursuant to a contract with Eskom with respect to the supply of specific products to meet Eskom's requirements.

Each item will be assigned a score by the Eskom evaluation team, based upon the tendered response, using Table 1 to score those items in Schedule B of the A&B Technical Schedule in Annexure A.

Tender responses claiming compliance to an item (e.g., 'Comply') but which are found to be non-compliant during verification will be assigned the corresponding score by the Eskom evaluation team. Items for which compliance is not claimed (e.g., 'Do Not Comply'), but which are found to be compliant during verification will be scored as 'non-compliant' based on the original response.

All scores for the Technical Schedules A&B will be tallied and shall be calculated based on the maximum possible score (Score from Table 1). This value will be recorded as the equivalent amount out of a score of 100% in Table 2. There is a minimum score threshold of 80% to pass this stage.

Annexure A: A&B Technical Schedule and Annexure J: Deviation Schedule shall be completed, signed and submitted as a tender returnable.

Table 1: Scoring of Items in Technical Schedules A&B

Criteria	Score
Fully compliant	1
Non-compliant (major deviation)	0

Table 2: Technical Qualitative Requirements Evaluation

No.	Item	Score (1/0)	Comments
1.	Full compliance to 0.52/30434 and 0.52/30459, in respect of equipment supplied and wiring.		
2.	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30564 and 0.52/30565, in respect of equipment supplied and wiring.		
3.	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30566 and 0.52/30567, in respect of equipment supplied and wiring.		
4.	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30570, in respect of equipment supplied and wiring.		
5.	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30448, in respect of equipment supplied and wiring.		
6.	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30795, in respect of equipment supplied and wiring.		
7.	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0. 0.52/30794, in respect of equipment supplied and wiring.		
8.	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30793, in respect of equipment supplied and wiring.		
9.	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30578 & 0.52/30579, sheet number 10, in respect of equipment supplied and wiring.		
10.	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30580 & 0.52/30581, sheet number 14 in respect of equipment supplied and wiring.		

ESKOM COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

No.	Item	Score (1/0)	Comments
11.	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30513 & 0.52/30514 , Sheet 19 & refer to 240-170000986, Annexure B in respect of equipment supplied, wiring and frequency.		
Total Score %			Threshold = 80%

Tenderers that do not achieve at least the **threshold** for this stage will not be evaluated further for consideration in terms of this Request For Proposal.

5. Stage 2: Evaluation of Technical Qualitative Requirements for Engineering, Installation, Testing & Commissioning Capability

This section contains the technical evaluation strategy and criteria for evaluating submissions to perform engineering, installation, testing and commissioning of the equipment listed in Table 2 at Eskom substations. The following criteria will be used to assess the tenderer's technical capability to enter into a contract with Eskom with respect to engineering, installation, testing and commissioning work. The assessment is based on the returnables requested in:

1. Annexure B: Engineering Schedule
2. Annexure C: Procedure for Commissioning
3. Annexure D: Schedule for Commissioning
4. Annexure E: Tool List
5. Annexure F: Test Equipment List
6. Annexure G: Software List
7. Annexure H: Training Courses per technician
8. Annexure I: Organogram, CV's & Project Experience
9. Annexure J: Deviation Schedule

Each item will be assigned a score by the Eskom evaluation team using Table 3. The score for each item will be multiplied by its weight to obtain the total score per item.

Table 3: Scoring for Contractor Commissioning

Score	Description
10	Deemed to fully meet requirements
7	Deemed to mostly meet requirements
2	Deemed as substantially not meeting requirements
0	Nonresponsive
Note: Scores will be allocated in the range 0 - 10 with the above as a guideline	

The quality criteria will be adjudicated as a weighted score out of 100% and will comprise of scoring in the categories as defined in Table 4. Each category has a minimum threshold score of 80%.

Table 4: Engineering, Installation, Testing & Commissioning Capability Criteria

Category	Item	Threshold Score	Score	Sub-Weight	Weighting
1.	Engineering	>80%	(%)		20%
2.	Procedure for Commissioning	>80%	(%)		20%
3.	Schedule for Commissioning	>80%	(%)		20%
3.1	Tools, Test Equipment, Software	>80%	(%)	30%	40%
3.2	Training Courses	>80%	(%)	10%	
3.3	Related Experience and references	>80%	(%)	60%	
	Score		(%)		100%

6. Stage 3: Deemed Offer Risk(s)

Eskom's evaluation team shall compile a report summarising risks associated with any aspect of the offer:

- noted during the Technical Qualitative Requirements for the Supply of Equipment,
- noted during the Technical Qualitative Requirements for Engineering, Installation, Testing & Commissioning.
- based on any pricing anomalies, noted during the subsequent financial evaluation that cannot be acceptably clarified.
- Noted on the deviation schedule as in Annexure J: Deviation Schedule.

This narrative shall be used to determine and motivate whether the risk is deemed high / acceptable / low and will serve as input to the recommendation as to whether the offer should be accepted as shown in Table 5 below. Note, the TET shall only have access to financial information post completion of the technical evaluation report. Any changes as a result of c) above will be included in an update to the initial report.

Table 5: Deemed Offer Risk(s) Evaluation

Criteria	Score	Comments
Deemed Offer Risk(s)		
Threshold	Acceptable	

7. Authorization

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name and surname	Designation
Mario Petersen	Planning and Project Support Manager (Acting)
Quinton Labuschagne	Substation Automation Integration Manager

8. Revision History

Date	Rev	Compiler	Remarks
October	2022	K Jagdaw	Developed the template

9. Acknowledgements

Andre De La Guerre

Mohammed Omar

Ian Naicker

Angus Kerr

Bathathu Jonga

Lungie Nogela

Clive Watson

Mpumelelo Mathe

Annexure A: A&B Technical Schedule

This Annexure must be completed, signed and submitted.

Schedule A: Purchasers specific requirements. Each of these requirements are equally weighted.

Schedule B: Tenders to stipulate “**Comply**” or “**Do not Comply**”. All equipment supplied must be identical to the master drawings and wired identically in order to respond “**Comply**”.

No.	Requirements	Description	Schedule A	Schedule B	Comments
1.	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30434 and 0.52/30459, in respect of equipment supplied and wiring.	Master Drawings for 6TA2300. Note: Where component obsolesce or other reasons predicate changes to the existing design, <i>Suppliers</i> shall propose alternatives, while minimising impact to the existing design, for acceptance by <i>Purchaser</i> at the <i>Purchaser's</i> discretion prior to delivery of the equipment. If a newer IED version is supplied for a scheme, then a configuration file with identical functionality must be supplied by the tenderer.	Comply		
2.	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30564 and 0.52/30565, in respect of equipment supplied and wiring.	Master Drawings for 6BC-2110 Note: Where component obsolesce or other reasons predicate changes to the existing design, <i>Suppliers</i> shall propose alternatives, while minimising impact to the existing design, for acceptance by <i>Purchaser</i> at the <i>Purchaser's</i> discretion prior to delivery of the equipment. If a newer IED version is supplied for a scheme, then a configuration file with identical functionality must be supplied by the tenderer.	Comply		
3.	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30555 and 0.52/30556, in respect of equipment supplied and wiring.	Master Drawings for 6BC-2210. Note: Where component obsolesce or other reasons predicate changes to the existing design, <i>Suppliers</i> shall propose alternatives, while minimising impact to the existing design, for acceptance by <i>Purchaser</i> at the <i>Purchaser's</i> discretion prior to delivery of the equipment. If a newer IED version is supplied for a scheme, then a configuration file with identical functionality must be supplied by the tenderer.	Comply		

ESKOM COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

**TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR THE PTM&C EQUIPMENT & COMMISSIONING
ASSOCIATED WITH MERCURY 3RD 400/132KV TRANSFORMER**

Unique Identifier: **Mer23P13**

Revision: **1**

Page: **11 of 31**

No.	Requirements	Description	Schedule A	Schedule B	Comments
4.	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30793 or 0.52/30796, in respect of equipment supplied and wiring.	Master Drawings for 6JB-#100JB. Note: Where component obsolesce or other reasons predicate changes to the existing design, <i>Suppliers</i> shall propose alternatives, while minimising impact to the existing design, for acceptance by <i>Purchaser</i> at the <i>Purchaser's</i> discretion prior to delivery of the equipment. If a newer IED version is supplied for a scheme, then a configuration file with identical functionality must be supplied by the tenderer.	Comply		
5.	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30794 or 0.52/30797, in respect of equipment supplied and wiring.	Master Drawings for 6JB-#200 Note: Where component obsolesce or other reasons predicate changes to the existing design, <i>Suppliers</i> shall propose alternatives, while minimising impact to the existing design, for acceptance by <i>Purchaser</i> at the <i>Purchaser's</i> discretion prior to delivery of the equipment. If a newer IED version is supplied for a scheme, then a configuration file with identical functionality must be supplied by the tenderer.	Comply		
6.	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30795 or 0.52/30798, in respect of equipment supplied and wiring.	Master Drawings for 6JB-#300. Note: Where component obsolesce or other reasons predicate changes to the existing design, <i>Suppliers</i> shall propose alternatives, while minimising impact to the existing design, for acceptance by <i>Purchaser</i> at the <i>Purchaser's</i> discretion prior to delivery of the equipment.	Comply		
7.	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30598, in respect of equipment supplied and wiring.	Master Drawings for 6BC-2910. Note: Where component obsolesce or other reasons predicate changes to the existing design, <i>Suppliers</i> shall propose alternatives, while minimising impact to the existing design, for acceptance by <i>Purchaser</i> at the <i>Purchaser's</i> discretion prior to delivery of the equipment. If a newer IED version is supplied for a scheme, then a configuration file with identical functionality must be supplied by the tenderer.	Comply		

ESKOM COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

**TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR THE PTM&C EQUIPMENT & COMMISSIONING
ASSOCIATED WITH MERCURY 3RD 400/132KV TRANSFORMER**
Unique Identifier: **Mer23P13**Revision: **1**Page: **12 of 31**

No.	Requirements	Description	Schedule A	Schedule B	Comments
8.	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30578, in respect of Gateway Panel - Main 1 (6AGW-2101-M1) to be installed.	Master Drawings for Gateway Panel - Main 1 (6AGW-2101-M1) Note: Where component obsolesce or other reasons predicate changes to the existing design, <i>Suppliers</i> shall propose alternatives, while minimising impact to the existing design, for acceptance by <i>Purchaser</i> at the <i>Purchaser's</i> discretion prior to delivery of the equipment.	Comply		
9.	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30579, in respect Gateway Panel - Main 2 (6AGW-2101-M2) to be installed.	Master Drawings for Gateway Panel - Main 2 (6AGW-2101-M2) Note: Where component obsolesce or other reasons predicate changes to the existing design, <i>Suppliers</i> shall propose alternatives, while minimising impact to the existing design, for acceptance by <i>Purchaser</i> at the <i>Purchaser's</i> discretion prior to delivery of the equipment.	Comply		
10	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30580, in respect Automation HMI Server Module - Main 1 (6AHMI-2100-M1) to be installed.	Master Drawings for Automation HMI Server Module - Main 1 (6AHMI-2100-M1) Note: Where component obsolesce or other reasons predicate changes to the existing design, <i>Suppliers</i> shall propose alternatives, while minimising impact to the existing design, for acceptance by <i>Purchaser</i> at the <i>Purchaser's</i> discretion prior to delivery of the equipment.	Comply		
11	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30581, in respect Automation HMI Server Module - Main 2 (6AHMI-2100-M2) to be installed.	Master Drawings for Automation HMI Server Module - Main 2 (6AHMI-2100-M2) Note: Where component obsolesce or other reasons predicate changes to the existing design, <i>Suppliers</i> shall propose alternatives, while minimising impact to the existing design, for acceptance by <i>Purchaser</i> at the <i>Purchaser's</i> discretion prior to delivery of the equipment.	Comply		

ESKOM COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

**TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR THE PTM&C EQUIPMENT & COMMISSIONING
ASSOCIATED WITH MERCURY 3RD 400/132KV TRANSFORMER**
Unique Identifier: **Mer23P13**Revision: **1**Page: **13 of 31**

No.	Requirements	Description	Schedule A	Schedule B	Comments
12	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30513, in respect Fibre Switching Panel - Main 1 (6AFS-2100-M1) to be installed.	Master Drawings for Fibre Switching Panel - Main 1 (6AFS-2100-M1) Note: Where component obsolesce or other reasons predicate changes to the existing design, <i>Suppliers</i> shall propose alternatives, while minimising impact to the existing design, for acceptance by <i>Purchaser</i> at the <i>Purchaser's</i> discretion prior to delivery of the equipment.	Comply		
13	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30516, in respect Fibre Switching Panel - Main 2 (6AFS-2100-M2) to be installed.	Master Drawings for Fibre Switching Panel - Main 2 (6AFS-2100-M2) Note: Where component obsolesce or other reasons predicate changes to the existing design, <i>Suppliers</i> shall propose alternatives, while minimising impact to the existing design, for acceptance by <i>Purchaser</i> at the <i>Purchaser's</i> discretion prior to delivery of the equipment.	Comply		
14	Full compliance to Drawing No: 0.52/30514, in respect Automation Common Equipment Panel (6ACE-2100) to be installed.	Master Drawings for Automation Common Equipment Panel (6ACE-2100) Note: Where component obsolesce or other reasons predicate changes to the existing design, <i>Suppliers</i> shall propose alternatives, while minimising impact to the existing design, for acceptance by <i>Purchaser</i> at the <i>Purchaser's</i> discretion prior to delivery of the equipment.	Comply		

Signed by: _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

ESKOM COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

Annexure B: Engineering Schedule

This Annexure must be completed, signed and submitted.

Tenderers are to supply a method statement indicating the approach and deliverables for the engineering of the proposed scope.

Schedule A: Purchasers specific requirements. Each of these requirements are equally weighted.

Schedule B: Tenders to stipulate “**Comply**” or “**Do not Comply**”.

No.	Requirements	Comment	Schedule A	Schedule B	Comments
1.	Compliance to 240-68980568 – Standard for the Application of Transmission and Distribution Protection Schemes;	Application Engineering should comply to Eskom’s standard approach to application engineering to ensure a consistent look and feel across Transmission installed infrastructure to facilitate operations and maintenance, and to facilitate lifecycle management and possible future expansion of the infrastructure.	Comply		
2.	Compliance to 240-96632721 Secondary Plant Drawing Practice Standard for Transmission and Distribution	Drawings should comply to Eskom’s standard approach to ensure a consistent format across all drawings.	Comply		
3.	Control layout drawing as per existing drawing no 0-WT-175	Additional equipment in terms of this scope to be added to the existing control room layout.	Comply		
4.	Compliance to 240-170000395 - Substation Control and Automation Application Guide for Phase 6 Siemens Solution	Application Engineering should comply to Eskom’s standard approach to application engineering to ensure a consistent look and feel across Transmission installed infrastructure to facilitate operations and maintenance, and to facilitate lifecycle management and possible future expansion of the infrastructure.	Comply		

ESKOM COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

Document Classification: Controlled Disclosure

**TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR THE PTM&C EQUIPMENT & COMMISSIONING
ASSOCIATED WITH MERCURY 3RD 400/132KV TRANSFORMER**

Unique Identifier: **Mer23P13**

Revision: **1**

Page: **15 of 31**

Signed by: _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

ESKOM COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

When downloaded from the WEB, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user
to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the WEB.

Tenders are required to propose a procedure for commissioning in accordance with the Scope of Work, whilst considering and ensuring the continuity of existing operations. This procedure will be scored against Table 3. The procedure refers to a list of activities and tests which will be performed during commissioning corresponding to the supplied scope of work. Use as many pages as required to provide details.

This image shows a single page of white paper with horizontal blue ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

Signed: _____ (Company Rep) Date: _____

Rep Name: _____

Annexure D: Schedule for Commissioning

Tenders are required to propose a schedule for commissioning (task sequencing and duration) incorporating the procedure(s) developed in Annexure B, in compliance with the Scope of Work; whilst considering and ensuring the continuity of existing operations. This schedule will be scored against Table 3. The schedule for commissioning refers to a list of activities and the order in which the activities will be performed, with corresponding timelines. Use as many pages as required to provide details.

Activity Name	Activity Description	Start Date	End Date

Signed: _____ (Company Rep) Date: _____

Rep Name: _____

ESKOM COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

Annexure E: Tool List

Please, complete this annexure, and submit it with the tender document. Examples are provided below; however, this is not an exhaustive list. Ensure that you list all relevant tools required for the project.

Tools to be used for construction	Description	Requirement (e.g. per person / per team / per section)	Indicate if owned(O) or to be hired(H)**
Technicians Toolbox	Pliers, side cutters, insulated screw drivers, strippers, etc	Per Team	
JST insulated lug crimper	Crimper for crimping reinsulated lugs – quality of crimp connection to be certified per tool.	Per Team	
Hand-held radio set	Hand-held radio set	Per Team	
Anti-static wrist strap	3 m	Per technician	
Test Leads	2.5mm ² with a minimum of 20 leads with a 3m length	Per Team	
Universal panel key	To Open Panels	Per Team	
Crimper	(Red, Blue and Yellow lugs)	Per Team	
Crimper	(eg. Suhner: RF Connectors, with jaws for LMR 400, LMR 195, RG213)	Per Team	
Test handles	Test block PK2 - 4 way	2 per technician	
Test handles	Test block PK2 - 6 way	1 per technician	
Metering Seals	Traceable Plastic/Wire and Ferrule seals for sealing meters and test blocks	Per technician	
1000V rated Torque wrench	Gedore 8 to 54 Nm - with insulated sockets (8,10,13,17,19)	Per Team	

****When tools would be hired, a hiring letter from the reputable hiring agency is required**

DECLARATION: I hereby confirm that the tools list above is a true reflection of the tools owned or hired by my Company.

I will also ensure that all tools will be enough to cater for multiple full teams and tools that require calibration will have valid calibration certificates before the execution of work.

Signed: _____ (Company Rep) **Date:** _____

Rep Name: _____ **Tendering Company:** _____

Annexure F: Test Equipment List

Please, complete this annexure, and submit it with the tender document. Examples are provided below; however, this is not an exhaustive list. Ensure that you list all relevant test equipment required for the project.

Test equipment to be used for construction	Description	Requirement (e.g. per person / per team / per section)	Valid test / calibration certificate no.	Serial no.	Indicate if owned(O) or to be hired(H)**
AC Current clamp: 0-5A	Accuracy +/- 2% of reading, safety CAT III 600V, 10mm jaw inner diameter (max)	Per team			
AC Current clamp: 0-1000A	Accuracy +/- 2% of reading, safety CAT III 600V, 55mm jaw inner diameter (min)	Per team			
Digital multi-meter	4 ½ Digit true RMS as per DSP 34-1053	Per technician			
Insulation tester 500V		Per team			
Insulation tester 5kV		Per site			
Laptop with 3G capability	Suitable Laptop dependant on software requirements.	Per technician			
Relay communication cables	All relevant cables for the service area	Per technician			
IEC61850 Test Tools		Per Site			

ESKOM COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

When downloaded from the WEB, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the WEB.

**TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR THE PTM&C EQUIPMENT & COMMISSIONING
ASSOCIATED WITH MERCURY 3RD 400/132KV TRANSFORMER**

Unique Identifier: **Mer23P13**

Revision: **1**

Page: **21 of 31**

Secondary Injection test set including all required test leads	e.g., suitably calibrated Omicron 356 or 256, or equivalent. GPS Time synchronisation required for feeder commissioning.	Per team			
Primary Injection test set including all required test leads, including portable test leads to connect to primary conductor.	e.g., suitably calibrated CPC 100 or equivalent with sufficient test leads.	Per team			
Current Transformer test set including all required test leads.	e.g., suitably, suitably calibrated CT Analyser or equivalent with sufficient test leads.	Per site			
Voltage Transformer test set including all required test leads.	e.g., suitably calibrated Voltano or equivalent with sufficient test leads.	Per site			
Meter accuracy verification test unit	Metes320 test unit /MTE or similar. Including: 3X Clip-on current sensors with 1A & 5A ranges	Per team			
Optical eye	Universal type for meter programming	Per technician			
Polarity tester		Per team			
Discharge Unit	Torqual 860	Per Team			
Digital hydrometer	Anton Paar	Per Team			
TMC2001D	Voltage Unit	Per Team			
Digital hydrometer	Anton Paar	Per Team			
State-of-Health tester	Impedance or conductance tester	Per Team			

ESKOM COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

**TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR THE PTM&C EQUIPMENT & COMMISSIONING
ASSOCIATED WITH MERCURY 3RD 400/132KV TRANSFORMER**

Unique Identifier: **Mer23P13**

Revision: **1**

Page: **22 of 31**

Scopemeter	Fluke	Per Team			
Circuit breaker simulator		Per team			
Audio measuring set		Per team			
Handheld Signal Strength measuring set (FSM 500)		Per team			
LAN tester		Per team			
Bird Watt meter or VSWR meter		Per team			
ASE2000 Communication Test Set	V1 or V2	per Team			

***When test equipment would be hired, a hiring letter from the reputable hiring agency is required.*

DECLARATION: I hereby confirm that the test equipment list above is a true reflection of the test equipment owned or hired by my Company.

I will also ensure that all test equipment will be enough to cater for multiple full teams and equipment that require calibration will have valid calibration certificates before the execution of work.

Signed: _____ (Company Rep)

Date: _____

Rep Name: _____

Tendering Company: _____

ESKOM COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

When downloaded from the WEB, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user
to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the WEB.

Annexure G: Software List

Please, complete this annexure, and submit it with the tender document. Examples are provided below; however, this is not an exhaustive list. Ensure that you list all relevant software required for the project.

Computer test software** to be used for construction (include Version no.)	Description of what the software does (and also which relay scheme it is used with)	Requirement	Indicate if owned(O) or to be Purchased (P)
Omicron Test Universe V4.3 (Update as released)	Secondary injection of relays	Per Team	
Primary Test Manager V3.4	Primary testing transformers, VTs and bushings and analysis	Per Team	
CT Analyzer Suite V5	CT Testing and analysis	Per Team	
FRAnalyzer V2.2	Sweep frequency test on transformer	Per Team	
PowerDB11 lite	Megger Insulation resistance testing	Per Team	
Wireshark 2.2.1 or latest	Sniff network packets; eg. IEC61850 GOOSE	Per Team	
IED Scout	IEC61850 Network Software	Per Team	
PCM600 2.3 and 2.10	To connect to ABB Relion series relays,RED760,REF615	Per Team	
DIGSI 4.94	Connect to Siemens 7SS Bus Zone relay	Per Team	
Qualitrol	Connect to disturbance recorder	Per Team	
Specific Point of Wave Software	Point of Wave relays	Per Team	
REG-D Software	Tap changer software	Per Team	
METES 320 Software	Meter accuracy verification METES 320 software	Per Team	
ION Setup	Schneider PM8000 configuration software	Per technician	

ESKOM COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

When downloaded from the WEB, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the WEB.

Document Classification: Controlled Disclosure**TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR THE PTM&C EQUIPMENT & COMMISSIONING
ASSOCIATED WITH MERCURY 3RD 400/132KV TRANSFORMER**Unique Identifier: **Mer23P13**Revision: **1**Page: **24 of 31**

Landis + Gyr MAP 120	Landis + Gyr configuration software	Per technician	
Landis + Gyr MAP 110	Landis + Gyr configuration/downloading software	Per technician	
Osprey Lite	Configuration software for CT Labs Vecto III	Per technician	
Cordex Controller Software	Relevant Cordex controller software with corresponding software and bootloader/operating system versions. Detail the software/bootloader/operating system versions	Per Technician	
ASE2000 Communication Test Set V1 (Driver ver 0.1.0.56)	Driver for ASE Protocol Test Set	per Team	
ASE2000 Communication Test Set V2 (Driver ver 2.7.0.0)	Driver for ASE Protocol Test Set	per Team	
ABB ITT600 (Integrated Testing Tool) (V2.0 or later)	Integrated Testing Tools for AB IEC61850 commissioning	per Team	
ABB RTUtil560 (Ver 7.1.1.0)	Configure ABB RTU560 CMU04 (500 series fdr schemes)	per Technician	
ABB RTUtil560 (Ver 9.9.2.0)	Configure ABB RTU560 CMU05 (670 series fdr schemes)	per Technician	
ABB RTUtil560 (Ver 7.6.1.0)	Configure ABB RTU560 CMU04 (SVCs & Komsberg Series CB)	per Technician	
ABB RTUtil560 (Ver 6.3.230.1)	Configure ABB RTU560 SLI (Proteus & Bacchus Series CB)	per Technician	
Unicon (Ver 1.7.24)	Configure IST Talus RTU or BP devices	per Technician	
GE Config Pro (V6.00)	Configure GED20 & D25 RTUs	per Technician	
GE DS Agile Studio (V2.5)	Configure D400 Firmware ver 5.5	per Technician	
GE Energy IEC 61850 Loader (Ver 2.1.4.9344)	Configure D400 Firmware ver 2.75	per Technician	

ESKOM COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

When downloaded from the WEB, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the WEB.

Document Classification: Controlled Disclosure**TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR THE PTM&C EQUIPMENT & COMMISSIONING
ASSOCIATED WITH MERCURY 3RD 400/132KV TRANSFORMER**Unique Identifier: **Mer23P13**Revision: **1**Page: **25 of 31**

GE D400 Utilities (Ver 1.8)	Configures D400	per Technician	
GE D400 Utilities (Ver 2.0.3.9344)	Configures D400	per Technician	
D400 Runtime HMI (Ver 5.5)	DSAS D400 Browser	per Technician	
CIRC (Ver 1.54)	Configure D400 Interlocking	per Technician	
IST Estex (Ver 2.00)	PC Estel Protocol Simulator	per Technician	
IST RTU Logger (Ve 1.00 (2010Build)	IST serial logs upload	per Technician	
IST VT Terminal	IST Talus RTU Terminal Emulator	per Technician	
Siemens SICAM PAS (Ver 9.17)	Siemens Gateway Software	per Technician	
WINCC Runtime (Ver 7.5 SP2 + Update)	Siemens HMI software	per Technician	
SICAM SCC (Ver 9.07)	Siemens HMI software	per Technician	
Schneider Electric Vijeo Citect SCADA Software (Ver 2015)	Citect HMI software	per Team	
DIGSI 5 (Ver 7.5)	Configure Siemens Siprotec IEDs (Pinotage SS only)	per Team	
DIGSI 5 (Ver 8.7)	Configure Siemens Siprotec IEDs	per Team	
SEL AcSElerator Architect (Ver 2.3.6.1014)	Configure SEL IEC 61850 systems	per Technician	
SEL AcSElerator RTAC (1.32.148.7000)	Configure SEL RTAC devices	per Technician	
IEC Browser (V03.50)	IEC61850 Diagnostic Tool	per Technician	

DECLARATION: I hereby confirm that the software list above is a true reflection of the software owned or hired by my Company.

I will also ensure that all software will be enough to cater for multiple full teams and software that require licenses will be procured and activated before the execution of work.

ESKOM COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

When downloaded from the WEB, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user
to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the WEB.

Document Classification: Controlled Disclosure

**TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR THE PTM&C EQUIPMENT & COMMISSIONING
ASSOCIATED WITH MERCURY 3RD 400/132KV TRANSFORMER**

Unique Identifier: **Mer23P13**

Revision: **1**

Page: **26 of 31**

Signed: _____ (Company Rep)

Date: _____

Rep Name: _____

Tendering Company: _____

ESKOM COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

When downloaded from the WEB, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user
to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the WEB.

Annexure H: Training Courses per technician

Please, complete this annexure, examples are shown, and submit it with the tender document, indicating the training and courses related to this tendered project that each employee (to be involved with this tendered construction project) has undergone. This requirement also includes employees who would be employed on temporary basis for this tendered project.

Submit copies of the training certificates and these copies must be certified by the Commissioner of Oaths, with a signature and date not older than three months from the tender closing date.

Training certificates need to be still valid on the date of tender close. Certificates that have expired prior to this date would not be accepted as valid evidence.

Name and surname		
Position held		
Related training and courses completed	Date obtained	Expiry date
Competency in power system protection, including breaker and a half operation. Eg, reading technical drawings, substation automation using IEC61850, secondary plant injection test tests, primary plant injection test tests.		
Competency in Control and Automation		
Competency in SCADA.		
Competency in Metering.		
Competency in Teleprotection.		
Competency in DC & Auxiliary Systems.		
Competency in Access Control & Security Systems.		
ORHVS as a Responsible/Authorised Person		
DECLARATION: I hereby confirm that the training courses above is a true reflection of the resource employed by my company.		
Signed: _____ (Company Rep) Date: _____		

Rep Name: _____

Tendering Company: _____

Annexure I: Organogram, CV's & Project Experience

Tenderers are required to submit company organogram together with CV's of each key person that will work on this scope. Also, please complete all sheets to this annexure and submit it with the tender document.

Note: Tenderers are requested to indicate if Eskom may contact customers listed below for reference feedback. To this end Eskom may request contact details during the evaluation.

Equipment or device description	Has the tenderer installed it before? [Yes / No] + [Reference]	Has the tenderer tested it before? [Yes / No] + [Reference]	Has the tenderer commissioned it before? [Yes / No] + [Reference]
<i>(For example, for a current transformer)</i>	<i>Yes – Customer, Site Name, Date, Responsible Technician Name,</i>	<i>Yes – Customer, Site Name, Date, Responsible Technician Name,</i>	<i>Yes – Customer, Site Name, Date, Responsible Technician Name,</i>
1. Microprocessor based relay/scheme with digital communications used for the protection of Auto POWER TRANSFORMER (2-winding or 3-winding) with terminal voltages rated from 11kV to 765kV			
2. Microprocessor based relay/scheme with digital communications used for the control of the ON-LOAD TAP CHANGER of a power transformer (2-winding or 3-winding) with terminal voltages rated from 11kV to 765kV			
3. Microprocessor based relay/scheme with digital communications used for the protection of a BUSZONE with voltages rated from 11kV to 765kV			
4. Microprocessor based relay/scheme with digital communications used for the BUSSECTION protection with voltages rated from 11kV to 765kV			

ESKOM COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

**TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR THE PTM&C
EQUIPMENT & COMMISSIONING ASSOCIATED WITH
MERCURY 3RD 400/132KV TRANSFORMER**

Unique Identifier: **Mer23P13**

Revision: **1**

Page: **30 of 31**

5. Microprocessor based relay/scheme with digital communications used for the BUSCOUPLER protection with voltages rated from 11kV to 765kV			
6. SUBSTATION TELECONTROL AND NETWORKING EQUIPMENT			
7. DC & AUXILIARY SYSTEMS			

DECLARATION: I hereby confirm that the Project Experience above is a true reflection of the resource employed by my company.

Signed: _____ (Company Rep) **Date:** _____

Rep Name: _____ **Tendering Company:** _____

ESKOM COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

Annexure J: Deviation Schedule

[illegible]