

Title: **Tender Technical Evaluation
Strategy for Supply of Various
Asset**

Unique Identifier:

N/A

Alternative Reference Number:

N/A

Area of Applicability:

Projects

Documentation Type:

Strategy

Revision:

1

Total Pages:

10

Next Review Date:

N/A

Disclosure Classification:

**CONTROLLED
DISCLOSURE**

Compiled by

Functional Responsibility

Authorised by

.....
<Initials and Surname>

<Designation>

Date:

.....
<Initials and Surname>

<Designation>

Date:

.....
<Initials and Surname>

<Designation>

Date:

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES	3
2.1 SCOPE	3
2.1.1 Purpose	3
2.1.2 Applicability	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	3
2.2.1 Normative	3
2.2.2 Informative	3
2.3 DEFINITIONS	4
2.3.1 Classification	4
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS	4
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING	4
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	4
3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY	5
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	5
3.2 TET MEMBERS	5
3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	6
3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	6
3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES	8
3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS	9
3.6.1 Risks	9
3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions	9
4. AUTHORISATION	10
5. REVISIONS	10
6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM	10
7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	10

TABLES

Table 1: TET Members	5
Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria	6
Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria	7
Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities	8
Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks	9
Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks	9
Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	9
Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	9

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

1. INTRODUCTION

The unreliability and unavailability of certain assets in Medupi Power Station is a major concern which result in unplanned downtime, longer mean time to repair and it has also contributed too many production risk on the Units. Initiatives to improve the reliability and availability of the plant amongst others includes, procuring assets through a procure and supply contract.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

The document describes the acceptable and unacceptable risks and qualifications and /or conditions.

The Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy will define the following technical evaluation criteria:

- Mandatory Evaluation criteria
- Qualitative Evaluation criteria
- TET Member Responsibilities
- Acceptable/Unacceptable Qualifications

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document applies to the Tender Evaluation Team for Asset purchase in accordance with the authorised procurement strategy.

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] 241-202228: Medupi Power Station Scope of Work for the coal feeder belt

2.2.2 Informative

- [3] NEC 3 Supply Contract

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

2.3 DEFINITIONS

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.3.2 Mandatory Evaluation criteria: (gatekeepers) are 'must meet' criteria

2.3.3 Qualitative Evaluation criteria: are weighted evaluation criteria used to identify the highest technically ranked tenderer after determining that all the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria have been met.

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
NEC	New Engineering Contract
TET	Technical Evaluation Team
RCF	Raw Coal Feeder

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

N/A as per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3. TENDER TECHNCIAL EVALAUTION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

3.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 1: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1	Justice Mphahlele	Project Manager
TET 2	Justice Futhana	Management Account

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.3 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA**Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria**

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	Product on offer list (reference to the NEC 3 Supply Contract, C2.2 the price schedule,	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A signed letter confirming that the supplier will be able to supply the items on C.2.2(the price schedule) on the NEC document. Supplier shall submit a proof per item to confirm the that item will be delivered 	To ensure that all assets can be supplied

3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Score	(%)	Definition
5	100	COMPLIANT Meet technical requirement(s) AND; No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.
4	80	COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS Meet technical requirement(s) with; Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; Acceptable exceptions AND/OR; Acceptable conditions.
2	40	NON-COMPLIANT Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR; Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR; Unacceptable conditions.
0	0	TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE
Note 1: The scoring table does not allow for scoring of 1 and 3.		
Note 2: Foreseen acceptable and unacceptable risk(s), exceptions and conditions shall be unambiguously defined in the relevant Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy.		

Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description		Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)
1.	Product Data Sheets/Specification per line item		Supplier to provide Product Data Sheets per line item	60	
	1.1	Product Data Sheets/Specification	All data sheets submitted for required assets		100
	1.2	Product Data Sheets/Specification	No data sheets submitted		0
2.	Company profile		Supplier to provide a signed letter with suppliers or proof that you will be able to supply all the required assets on the price list	40	
	2.1	Company profile	Signed commitment letter with manufacture or supplier that they will supply you with the assets		100
	2.2	Company profile	Nothing submitted		0
				TOTAL: 100	

3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2
1	X	X
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2
1	X	X
2	X	X

3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS**3.6.1 Risks****Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks**

Risk	Description
1.	N/A

Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Mandatory criteria 1 not evaluated and/or satisfied.

3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions**Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions**

Risk	Description
1.	Declining to provide technical details accurately deemed intellectual proprietary

Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Deviation without technical qualification not accepted.

4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation	Signature
Justice Mphahlele	Project Manager	
Justice Futhana	Management Account	

5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
July 2022	1	J Mphahlele	Technical evaluation for supply of assets

6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

Justice Mphahlele

Justice Futhana

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Justice Futhana

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.