

Title:	Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for the Road Maintenance Contract for a Period of 5 years at Camden Power Station	Unique Identifier:	229-T2516
		Alternative Reference Number:	N/A
		Area of Applicability:	Engineering
		Documentation Type:	Strategy
		Revision:	02
		Total Pages:	11
		Next Review Date:	N/A
		Disclosure Classification:	CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Compiled by



D. Nkosi

Auxiliary Eng. Civil Engineer

Date: 17/03/2025

Functional Responsibility



O. Tilodi

Auxiliary Engineering Manager

Date: 2025/05/09

Authorized by



M. Mathabatha

Engineering Manager

Date: 12/05/2025

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	3
1.1 SCOPE	3
1.1.1 Scope of Work	3
1.1.2 Purpose	3
1.1.3 Applicability	3
1.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	3
1.2.1 Normative	3
1.2.2 Informative	3
1.3 DEFINITIONS	4
1.3.1 Classification	4
1.4 ABBREVIATIONS	4
1.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	4
1.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING	4
1.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	4
2. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY	4
2.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	4
2.2 TET MEMBERS	5
2.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	6
2.5 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	7
2.6 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES	8
2.7 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS	10
2.7.1 Risks	10
2.7.2 Exceptions / Conditions	10
3. AUTHORISATION	11
4. REVISIONS	11
5. DEVELOPMENT TEAM	11
6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	11

TABLES

Table 1: TET Members	5
Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria	6
Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria	7
Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities	9
Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks	10
Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks	10
Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	10
Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	10

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

1. INTRODUCTION

This document provides the technical mandatory and qualitative criteria to evaluate potential Contractors for the scope of work for Road's maintenance at Camden Power station.

1.1 SCOPE

1.1.1 Scope of Work

This document covers the different aspects that will be evaluated and scored by the multi-disciplinary Technical Evaluation Team (TET) to complete the technical evaluation of the Roads maintenance at Camden Power station enquiry. The team members are listed and appointed in this document along with their responsibilities. The document also describes the acceptable and unacceptable risks and qualifications and/or conditions.

Once the Technical Evaluation Strategy is authorized no changes will be made to the evaluation criteria without appropriate authorization.

1.1.2 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and Technical Evaluation Team (TET) member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

1.1.3 Applicability

This document is applicable to the Camden Power Station.

1.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

1.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] 240-53716726 Technical Scoring Form;
- [3] 240-53716712 Technical Evaluation Results;
- [4] 32-1034 Eskom Procurement Policy;
- [5] ISO 9001 Quality Management Systems;

1.2.2 Informative

- [6] 229-T2842: Road Maintenance Contract for a Period of 5 years at Camden Power Station
- [7] 240-168966153: Generation Technical Tender Evaluation Procedure
- [8] 32-1034: Eskom Procurement Policy

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

[9] Contract Strategy

1.3 DEFINITIONS

N/A

1.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

1.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Explanation
ISO	International Standards Organisation
N/A	Not Applicable
OHS Act	Occupational Health and Safety Act
QCP	Quality Control Plan
QIP	Quality Inspection Plan

1.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

1.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

1.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

As per section 2.2

2. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

2.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

2.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 1: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1	Skhumbuzo Nkosi	Civil Engineer
TET 2	Nkanyiso Shozi	Civil Engineer
TET 3	Patrick Shange	Common Plant Senior Technician
TET 4	Riaan Venter	Chief Engineer – Civil and Structural Engineering, Generation Engineering

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

2.3 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1	Contracts manager to have a civil engineering qualification and be professionally registered with SACPCMP. The Contracts Manager to have a national diploma in civil engineering as a minimum.	Submit valid copies of the qualifications and registration certificates. NB: Certified copies will be requested from the successful tender/s.	This is to ensure that the Contracts manager has the relevant expertise to successfully execute and manage the scope of work.

2.5 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL CRITERIA DESCRIPTION	REFERENCE TO TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION / TENDER RETURNABLE	CRITERIA WEIGHTING (%)	SCORE SCALE			
			FLOOR	KICK IN	AVERAGE	CEILING
CRITERIA 1: Civil works Technical Requirements		100	0=0%	2=40%	4=80%	5=100%
1.1.METHOD STATEMENT	<p>Method statement which clearly demonstrates understanding of scope of work issued,</p> <p>The method statement to include the procedure to be adopted for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Pothole repair (both large and medium pothole)• Chemical dust suppression.• Concrete repairs on the drainage structures (v-drains and culvert structures).• Sealing of cracks on surfaced roads.• Road marking on surfaced roads.• Gravel roads.• Plant required for the scope of work.	30	Not submitted or does not address the issued scope of work.	Method Statement reiterates scope of works	Method Statement does not contain methodology of approach but contains high level descriptions of how works will be conducted	Method Statement details fully how scope will be met and provides comprehensive methodology of approach and has proposed other better material/ repair methods to be used either than the ones stated on the scope
1.2.EXPERIENCE ROAD MAINTENANCE	<p>Contractor shall submit proof of previous experience related to repairs and maintenance of roads in a form of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• x5 Traceable Purchase Orders, or	40	0 similar projects completed	1 or 2 similar projects completed	3 or 4 similar projects completed	5 or greater than 5 similar projects completed

**Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for the Road
Maintenance Contract for a Period of 5 years at Camden
Power Station**

Unique Identifier: **229-T2516**
Revision: **02**
Page: **8 of 11**

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> x5 Signed appointment letters and Completion certificates for each project with client's letterhead and contact details, or x5 Proof of previous contracts and appointment letters. 					
1.3.CONTRACTS MANAGER CV	The Contracts manager to have 5-year or more experience in conducting maintenance and repairs on roads.	10	0 years of relevant experience.	1 or 2 years of relevant experience on roads maintenance	3 or 4 years of relevant experience on roads maintenance	5 years or greater than 5 years of related/relevant experience on roads maintenance
1.4.AVAILABILITY OF PLANT	The tenderer to provide proof of ownership or lease agreement for the plant listed under method statement for the execution of the scope of work.	10	No proof of ownership or lease agreement submitted.	Submitted proof for plant availability only covers 50% or less of the scope.	Submitted proof of plant availability covers 60-90% of the scope.	Submitted proof of plant availability covers 100% of the scope.
1.5.ORGANOGRAM	An organogram for the core crew, in particular the names, positions and qualifications of the personnel. The minimum core crew includes: Contracts Manager / site Supervisor, SHE Rep, Quality assurance personnel / technician, and yellow plant Operators.	10	Organogram not submitted.	Organogram submitted but without roles/positions , names, CVs, and qualifications of core crew members.	Organogram submitted with names and roles/positions but without CVs and qualifications of core crew members.	Organogram submitted with names, positions, qualifications, and all CVs of listed core crew members.

2.6 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4
1	X	X	X	X
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4
1.1	X	X	X	X
1.2	X	X	X	X
1.3	X	X	X	X
1.4	X	X	X	X
1.5	X	X	X	X

2.7 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

2.7.1 Risks

Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	None

Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Inability to execute the required works as per scope of work issued [6]

2.7.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions




Risk	Description
1.	Contractor proposing different techniques to execute scope of work [6] without compromising issued scope of work, quality and costs.

Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Inability to perform the required works as per the issued scope of work [6].

3. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation	Signature
Patrick Shange	Civil Engineering Technician	
Nkanyiso Shoji	Civil Engineer	
Riaan Venter	Chief Engineer – Civil and Structural Engineering, Generation Engineering	

4. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
January 2024	0	D. Nkosi	New Document
October 2024	1	D. Nkosi	Rearrangement of the scoring, QCP requirements and the Site manager's SACPCMP requirement
March 2025	2	D. Nkosi	Combining the two mandatory requirements two 1, adding new TET members, revision of the qualitative table.

5. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

- Doctor Nkosi
- Nkanyiso Shoji
- Patrick Shange
- Riaan Venter.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.