


TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA - Core Technical Services
NAME OF THE SUPPLIER :
EVALUATOR NAME:Tom Hlatshwayo

PROJECT/ CONTRACTBBV SPACE OPTIMAZATION PROJECT

CIDB

2GB

Method Statement	Method statement for the overall project	Project execution plan, Schedule of activities.	30%	Project execution plan= 20% , Project programme = 10%. Not Submitted = 0%		
		Total for KPA 1	30%			
KPA 2	KPI	DESCRIPTION	WEIGHT	WHAT THE EVALUATOR TO LOOK FOR?		WHAT THE EVALUATOR TO LOOK FOR?
Proof of similar work done	Proof of completed projects and experience	Previous experience in building refurbishment projects (Three or more contracts (appointment letters or completion certificates)	40%	Submitted appointment letters or completion certificates: (Three or more contracts = 40%), (Two contracts = 20%), (One contract = 10%), no proof = 0%		
		Total for KPA 2	40%			
KPA 3	KPI	DESCRIPTION	WEIGHT	WHAT THE EVALUATOR TO LOOK FOR?	WEIGHT	WHAT THE EVALUATOR TO LOOK FOR?
Qualifications of core individuals within the company	Proof of Qualifications	Supervisor certificate of competency	10%	Not submitted = 0 submitted certificate = 10%		
		Fully Registered electrician , Wireman's	5%	Not submitted = 0 submitted certificate = 5%		
		Registered Artisan	5%	Not submitted = 0 submitted certificate = 5%		
		Total for KPA 3	20%			
KPA 4	KPI	DESCRIPTION		WHAT THE EVALUATOR TO LOOK FOR?		WHAT THE EVALUATOR TO LOOK FOR?

Project team Structure	Functional Project Organisational Structure	Project team members. Organisational structure of the project	10%	Proof of Team structure Not submitted =0 Submitted =10 %		
		Total KPA 4	10%			
		Total	100%			OUTCOME
Name	Designation	Signature		Date		
Zwelakhe Mbolekwa	Facilities Manager			2022/07/14	80% Minimum threshold	